

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL ADAMS ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GALVIN NEWSOME , ET AL. ,

Defendants.

1:19-CV-01109-BAM (PC)

FIRST INFORMATIONAL ORDER
IN PRISONER/CIVIL DETAINEE
CIVIL RIGHTS CASE

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff is a prisoner/civil detainee proceeding pro se (without counsel) (hereinafter referred to as "pro se plaintiff") in this civil rights action. This Order modifies requirements in Local Rules 130, 133(b)(2), and 135 for cases filed by pro se plaintiffs in the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California and highlights specific rules unique to such actions.

In litigating this action, the parties must comply with this Order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P."), and the Local Rules of the United States District Court, Eastern District of California ("Local Rules"), as modified by this Order. Failure to so comply will be grounds for imposition of sanctions which may include dismissal of the case. Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

////

////

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF CAUSES' OF ACTION

I, PAUL ADAMS, the plaintiff of the complaint, declare under penalty of perjury, under that laws and constitutions of California and the United States that the information alleged in the Complaint and above CAUSE OF ACTIONS, is true and correct. As to things on information, and belief, those I believe to be true.

1. I declare that the facts of the complaint and the premise of the causes of action are based upon physical evidence, and belief developed regarding the investigation process that is explained in the five-affidavits.

2. Exoneration exhibit-[1] speaks for itself, as it was produced by the OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, and proves that the Evidence declared exists by the office of the district attorney, STEVE COOLEY and his team, fails to exist in fact. Violations of law are indisputable, and proof of innocence and false imprisonment is established as a material fact.

3. When reviewing the scope of the Five Affidavits, compared to the Exoneration Exhibit-[1], it is clear upon the face of the record, that Plaintiff's imprisonment is in clear violation of the laws and constitutions of both, California and the United States. Additionally, remedy is indisputably, owed to the wrongfully imprisoned PAUL ADAMS. The defendants' liability exists in fact, and as a matter of law.

4. In regards to the Five Affidavits, proof of service shows that, all the parties were served with the issues detailed in the five affidavits. Thereby they were further informed by and through the **CALIFORNIA VICTIM COMPENSATION GOVERNMENT CLAIMS BORAD**, in which forwarded the complaint to the Office of the **ATTORNEY GENERAL, (KAMALA D. HARRIS.)** whom is a defendant of the complaint, and is the Party in which would represent all the defendants of the complaint as the acting Attorney on behalf of the Defendants.

Therefore, notice was provided. Additionally, Plaintiff provided Copies of the same FIVE AFFIDAVITS Notices' to the United States F.B.I. President of the United States DOJ; Office of the Attorney General; Superior Court of the Incident VAN NUYS COURT HOUSE; AND was, addressed to each of the parties of the complaint.

The five affidavits were, served properly upon the Defendants of the Complaint.

5. I am competent as to the information established in my complaint, papers and filings herein, and can and will testify competently thereto, in the court of law if, and when called upon to do so. I so declare.

DATED: _____/_____/2016

X _____

(f)

PAUL ADAMS, DECLARANT/PLAINTIF